Pupil premium strategy statement – Longney Primary Academy

This statement details our school's use of pupil premium (and recovery premium) funding to help improve the attainment of our disadvantaged pupils.

It outlines our pupil premium strategy, how we intend to spend the funding in this academic year and the outcomes for disadvantaged pupils last academic year.

School overview

Detail	Data
Number of pupils in school	77
Proportion (%) of pupil premium eligible pupils	16%
Academic year/years that our current pupil premium strategy plan covers (3 year plans are recommended – you must still publish an updated statement each academic year)	2023-24
Date this statement was published	December 2023
Date on which it will be reviewed	October 2024
Statement authorised by	Heather Francis
Pupil premium lead	Becky Prosser
Governor / Trustee lead	Mr Keith Rog

Funding overview

Detail	Amount	
Pupil premium funding allocation this academic year	£18,309	
Recovery premium funding allocation this academic year£2,000Recovery premium received in academic year 2023/24cannot be carried forward beyond August 31, 2024.		
Pupil premium funding carried forward from previous years (enter £0 if not applicable)	£0	
Total budget for this academic year	£20,309	
If your school is an academy in a trust that pools this funding, state the amount available to your school this academic year		

Part A: Pupil premium strategy plan

Statement of intent

Our intent

We believe in maximising the use of the pupil premium grant (PPG) by utilising a longterm strategy aligned to the SDP. This enables us to implement a blend of short, medium and long-term interventions, and align pupil premium use with wider school improvements and improving readiness to learn. Overcoming barriers to learning is at the heart of our PPG use. We understand that needs and costs will differ depending on the barriers to learning being addressed. As such, we do not automatically allocate personal budgets per pupil in receipt of the PPG. Instead, we identify the barrier to be addressed and the interventions required, whether in small groups, large groups, the whole school or as individuals, and allocate a budget accordingly.

Our priorities

Setting priorities is key to maximising the use of the PPG. Our priorities are as follows:

- Ensuring high quality first teaching in every class
- Closing the attainment gap between disadvantaged pupils and their peers
- Providing targeted academic support for pupils who are not making the expected progress

• Addressing non-academic barriers to attainment such as attendance and behaviour

• Ensuring that all pupils have access to wider curriculum opportunities.

Challenges

This details the key challenges to achievement that we have identified among our disadvantaged pupils.

Challenge number	Detail of challenge
1	Our assessments and observations indicate that the education and wellbeing of some of our disadvantaged pupils have been impacted by partial school closures to a greater extent than for other pupils. These findings are supported by national studies. This has resulted in significant knowledge gaps leading to pupils falling further behind age- related expectations in maths.
2	Assessments, observations and discussions with pupils indicate underdeveloped oral language skills and vocabulary gaps among pupils. These are evident from Reception through to KS2 and in general, are more prevalent among our disadvantaged pupils than their peers.

3	Assessments suggest disadvantaged pupils generally have greater difficulties with phonics than their peers. This negatively impacts their development as readers.
4	Our assessments (including wellbeing survey), observations and discussions with pupils and families have identified social and emotional issues for many pupils due a lack of enrichment opportunities during school closure. These 3 challenges particularly affect disadvantaged pupils, including their attainment.
5	Our attendance data over the last 3 years indicates that attendance among disadvantaged pupils has been between 2 - 6% lower than for non-disadvantaged pupils.

Intended outcomes

This explains the outcomes we are aiming for **by the end of our current strategy plan**, and how we will measure whether they have been achieved.

Intended outcome	Success criteria
Improved oral language skills and vocabulary among disadvantaged pupils.	 Assessments and observations indicate significantly improved oral language among disadvantaged pupils.
	 The attainment gap between disadvantaged children and their peers has decreased and all make good progress.
Improved maths attainment for disadvantaged pupils at the end of KS2	 The gap between disadvantaged children and their peers is narrowed in maths attainment and all make good progress.
	 Attainment for pupils at end of KS1 is narrowed against national average. 50% of disadvantaged pupils achieve ARE for maths at the end of KS2.
Improved reading attainment among disadvantaged pupils.	- The gap between disadvantaged children and their peers is narrowed in reading.
	 100% of disadvantaged pupils achieve ARE for Y1 phonics screening check.
To achieve and sustain improved wellbeing for all pupils in our school,	 Children with identified SEMH needs access Nurture interventions.
particularly our disadvantaged pupils.	 Children with SEMH needs achieve identified SEMH targets on MyPlans.
To achieve and sustain improved attendance for all pupils, particularly our disadvantaged pupils.	 Disadvantaged pupils' attendance is above 95% on average. No disadvantaged pupils are persistently absent.

Activity in this academic year

This details how we intend to spend our pupil premium (and recovery premium) funding **this academic year** to address the challenges listed above.

Teaching (for example, CPD, recruitment and retention)

Budgeted cost: £6,000

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
Develop high quality teaching of maths mastery curriculum.	EEF states that 'Mastery learning approaches aim to ensure that all pupils have mastered key concepts before moving on to the next topic – in contrast with traditional teaching methods in which pupils may be left behind, with gaps of misunderstanding widening. Mastery learning approaches could address these challenges by giving additional time and support to pupils who may have missed learning, or take longer to master new knowledge and skills.'	1
Develop high quality teaching of vocabulary instruction in reading lessons – including use	EEF states that 'interventions can be used to provide additional support to pupils who are behind their peers in oral language development, the targeted use of approaches may support some disadvantaged pupils to catch up with peers.'	2
of Widgit.	evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit/oral-language- interventions	
Purchase Essential Letters and Sounds (ELS), a <u>DfE</u> <u>validated</u> <u>Systematic</u> <u>Synthetic</u> <u>Phonics</u> <u>programme</u>	EEF states that 'targeted phonics interventions may therefore improve decoding skills more quickly for pupils who have experienced barriers to learning.' <u>https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education- evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit/phonics</u>	3

Targeted academic support (for example, tutoring, one-to-one support, structured interventions)

Budgeted cost: £9,100

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
Develop the use of 'Remember It' maths assessments to inform maths on track (MOT) sessions to	EEF states that 'well-evidenced teaching assistant interventions can be targeted at pupils that require additional support and can help previously low attaining pupils overcome barriers to learning and 'catch-up' with previously higher attaining pupils.'	1
meet the needs of pupils.	evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit/teaching-assistant- interventions	
Develop the use of targeted phonics interventions for disadvantaged pupils who	EEF states that 'when phonics is delivered as an intervention targeted at specific pupils, regular sessions (up to four times a week), of 30 minutes or so over a period of up to 12 weeks appear to be the most successful structure.'	3
require further phonics support.	https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education- evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit/phonics	
Purchase Lexonik Advance for pupils who require further support with developing as readers.	An evaluation of Lexonik Advance reports that 'Lexonik Advance has been beneficial for the students who took part. Indeed, we saw improvements in students' decoding skills that were sustained months after they participated in the programme. Staff also told us that the programme was beneficial for students' reading as well as their learning overall, including improving their confidence.'	3
	https://lexonik.co.uk/assets/documents/Lexonik-Advance- NLT-evaluation-report-2022-Final.pdf	

Wider strategies (for example, related to attendance, behaviour, wellbeing)

Budgeted cost: £5,200

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
Develop use of nurture sessions, with nurture trained	EEF states that 'SEL interventions in education are shown to improve SEL skills and are therefore likely to support disadvantaged pupils to understand and engage in healthy relationships with peers and emotional self-	4

teaching assistants.	regulation, both of which may subsequently increase academic attainment.' <u>https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education- evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit/social-and-emotional- learning</u>	
Provide attendance support in line with DfE guidance and school policy.	The DfE states that 'securing good attendance cannot therefore be seen in isolation, and effective practices for improvement will involve close interaction with schools' efforts on curriculum, behaviour, bullying, special educational needs support, pastoral and mental health and wellbeing, and effective use of resources, including pupil premium.'	5
	https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media /63049617e90e0729e63d3953/Working_ together_to_improve_school_attendance.pdf	

Total budgeted cost: £20,300

Part B: Review of the previous academic year

Pupil premium strategy outcomes

This details the impact that our pupil premium activity had on pupils in the 2022 to 2023 academic year.

Our internal assessments during 2022-23 highlight the gap in attainment of KS1 disadvantaged children. End of KS1 ARE:

Maths – 0% (national 52%) Writing – 0% (national 41%) Reading – 0% (national 51%)

Moving into 2023-24, closing the attainment gap in KS1 is a priority.

Our internal assessments during 2022-23 highlight the evidence of work implemented to support disadvantaged children to close the gap in attainment of KS2 disadvantaged children.

End of KS2 ARE:

Maths – 100% (national TBC) Writing – 100% (national TBC) Reading – 100% (national TBC)

Other internal assessments during 2022-23 are as follows:

Phonics screening check – 100% (national 62%) Y4 multiplication check – 0% (national TBC)

Attendance continues to be lower than pre-Covid but whole school attendance has increased on the previous year's attendance.

Whole school attendance for 2022-23 was 93.65% up from 93.48% for 2021-23. Disadvantaged pupil attendance for 2022-23 was 84.22% down from 89.23% for 2021-22.

We continue to target this area and implement our attendance strategy as set out in September 2022.

We continue to use pupil premium funding to make progress against achieving the intended outcomes for our pupil premium strategy by 2024.

Externally provided programmes

Please include the names of any non-DfE programmes that you used your pupil premium (or recovery premium) to fund in the previous academic year.

Programme	Provider